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#### Abstract

The Present paper is an attempt to analyse Spatial patterns of Literacy and Female work Participation rate in Rajasthan. The entire study is based on secondary sources of data collected from the Census of Rajasthan. Female Literacy empowers, and is an emancipator. The potential for women's literacy to reshape the developing world remains an untapped developmental resources. The most likely explanation is that areas with higher women's labor force participation are also areas with higher girls labor force participation. The study of labour market participation is essential for implementing and formulating employement and human resource development policies.On the basis of secondary data, this paper is aims to analyze the growth of literacy rate and female work participation rate in Rajasthan. One of the most striking phenomena of recent times has been the extent to which women have increased their share of the Labour force; the increasing participation of women in paid work has been driving employment trends and the gender gaps in labour force participation rates have been strinking. Therefore, there is a significant negative correlation between Female Literacy Rate and Female Work Participation Rate in Rajasthan due to mainly working in cultivation and Agricultural Labour work where literacy is not needed. Yet there is a positive correlation in urban areas of Rajasthan. Hence there should be strengthen off literacy best jobs for future in rural areas.
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## I. Introduction

Literacy is an important indicator of socio-economic and cultural development. It is regarded as both a means and an end of development (Azim, 2005).Literacy is an essential means for eradicating poverty and mental isolation, for cultivating peaceful and friendly international relations and for permitting the free play of demographic process (Chandna and Sidhu, 1980). The Census of India 2011, defines literacy as ratio between literate population ad total population excluding seven years children. In other words, a person aged 7 years and above who can both read and write with understanding in any language has been taken as literate. Female literacy is probably the most enabling tool and weapon in the arsenal of democracy. Literacy emboldens half the populace to successfully demand their rights and achieve gender parity. Literacy is a game-changer in the effort to advance women's standing in the third world. In Women and Literacy, Marcela Ballara (1992) defines literacy as "the apprenticeship for the knowledge needed to cope with everyday needs, including the individual's relationship with the surrounding world'".

The importance of literacy need not be stressed-it is an end in itself. Moreover, literacy and schooling are important determinants of economic growth (Barron, 2001) and women's education may be specially important for future growth (Klasen, 2002; world Bank, 2001). Within societies, education levels are a principal determinant of adult outcomes: if women are disadvantaged in schooling, that disadvantage will ripple through the entire system of gender stratification (Hill \& King, 1993). As a result, the Indian literacy rate grew with more than six fold from at the end of British rule in 1947 by 12 per cent to 74.04 per cent in 2011 . Literacy rate has been increased from 8.50 per cent in 1951 to 67.06 per cent in 2011 . The decadal change in literacy rates as total was 21.9 per cent where by residence, it was 25 per cent in rural areas \& 10.9 per cent in urban areas, and by gender it was 20.7 per cent in among males \& 23.4 per cent among females.

The economic analysis of female labor participation attracted considerable attention since the pioneering works of Mincer (1962) and Cain (1966). The female labor force participation rates increased considerably in the developed countries in recent years. The labor force participation rate plays a increasing trend toward women's participation in the labor market in both developed and developing countries has drawn both social and academic interest resulting in many insightful studies on gender aspects of labor market issues (Ackah, Charles, 2009). Generals models of gender inequalities (Chafetz,1984) tend to emphasize the importance of women's economic roles in determining their position in other spheres, from household bargaining to representation in state governance. Empirical research has found that women's labor force participation is associated with less bias against girls in child mortality (Kishor, 1993; Rosenzweig \& Schultz,
1982), better health for girls (Thomas, 1994), and with more say in some household decision making (Dharmalingam \& Morgan, 1996; Jejeebhoy \& Sathar , 2001; Malhotra \& Mather, 1997).

Female workers play very important role in agriculture based Indian economy. They participate in farm and non-farm activities besides domestic work. Now the attitude of the society has also changed and working women is not seen with suspicious eyes like earlier. Females constitute about $48.14 \%$ half of the total population in Rajasthan and play a very significant role in the state economy. According to the 2011 census estimates is 29.9 millions, out of which 18.3 millions are males and only 11.6 millions are females. It is more important to highlight that 10.6 millions female are working in rural areas while only 0.98 millions female are engaged as main and marginal workers in urban areas in Rajasthan. Around $77 \%$ of total workers and $18 \%$ of total urban female workers are engaged as cultivators and agricultural labourers.

## Objectives

1 To examine the growth in literacy rates of Rajasthan, by male-female as well as rural-urban.
2 To understand the level and nature of female labour activity at district level in Rajasthan.
3 To find out the relationship between female literacy and female work participation rate at district level.

## II. Data And Methodology

The paper is mainly based on the secondary data, which gained from the various documents of the Census of India \& Rajasthan - 2001 and 2011. For deeply discussion data also obtained from the Provisional Population Totals - Rajasthan and PCA- chapter-4i\&4ii, Census of India,2011. Data received from various sources first and then combined it in different groups and tables according to the requirements of the study. The district-wise literacy rates and female work participation rate for total population, total workers rural- urban population are further segregated into several groups. This comparative study is mainly descriptive in nature.

## III. Results And Discussion

If we compare the data, as showing in Table1, we find that Rajasthan is still more than $7 \%$ below than the nation's average level of Literacy. The picture clearly shows that Rajasthan literacy is still backward. Notwithstanding, literacy rate has been increased from 8.50 per cent in 1951 to 67.06 per cent in 2011. However, the highest growth in literacy rate of Rajasthan is recorded in the decade of 1991-2001 at every level likewise with total by residence as well as by gender also. The decadal change in literacy rates as total was 21.9 per cent where by residence it was 25 per cent in rural areas and 10.9 per cent in urban areas, and by gender it was 20.7 per cent in among males and 23.4 per cent among females.

In Table2, we find that the urban- rural gap of literacy rate has overall narrowed from 31.60 per cent in 1961 to 18.38 per cent in 2011.Through it was spread 35.58 per cent in 1981 but therefore slightly reduced upto 1991. While in urban areas, it has increased from 44.5 per cent in 1961 to 80.7 per cent in 2011 . Whereas, ruralurban literacy gap is still exist.

Table 3, shows that literacy among females has 7 per cent in 1961 which grows upto 52.7 per cent in 2011. On the other hand males literacy increased 28.1 per cent in 1961 and has raised to 80.5 per cent in 2011.The result came in front of, is that the gap in literacy rates among males-females has overall widen over the period of time.

Table 4 shows, district-wise literacy of Rajasthan. Kota is the most literate district in the state with 73.52 per cent in 2001 and 77.48 per cent in 2011. Whereas Jaipur closely followed by 69.90 per cent in 2001 and 76.44 per cent in 2011. While Jhunjhunu slightly down 73.04 per cent in 2001 and 74.72 per cent in 2011.Jalor is in the bottam of Rajasthan with 55.58 per cent.Sirohi is just close to it with only 56.02 per cent.

Table 5, District- wise picture of literacy shows that rural areas of the state are far behind than the urban areas.Jhunjhunu district literates males as total as in rural areas while Udaipur has highest males literates in urban areas.Similarly, literate females found in Kota, where Udaipur again top in urban areas.Although, average level of literacy among females as rural as total as rural areas are very low.

FWPR of total, rural, and urban for 33 districts of Rajasthan for the period 2001-2011 it highlights wide gap among districts in female work participation rate and its components.Female workers as cultivation work has been reduced and on the other side the share as agricultural labourers in total female workers have been increased.

Growth in Literacy rates in Rajasthan and in India from 1951 to
Table 1: 2011 (in per cent)

| State | $\mathbf{1 9 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rajasthan | 8.5 | 18.12 | 22.57 | 30.11 | 38.55 | 60.41 | 67.06 |
| India | 18.33 | 28.3 | 34.45 | 43.57 | 52.21 | 64.84 | 74.04 |


|  | Decadal change in Literacy rates from 1951-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1951- } \\ & 1961 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1961- } \\ & 1971 \end{aligned}$ | 1971- $1981$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1981- } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1991- } \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2001- } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rajasthan | 9.62 | 4.45 | 7.54 | 8.44 | 21.86 | 6.65 |
| India | 9.97 | 6.15 | 9.12 | 8.64 | 12.63 | 9.2 |

Sources: Census of India - Various documents.

Literacy rates by residence and Urban - Rural gap in Rajasthan
Table 2: from 1961 to 2011

| Literacy | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rural | 12.9 | 16.4 | 22.5 | 30.4 | 55.3 | 62.3 |
| Urban | 44.5 | 50.8 | 58 | 65.3 | 76.2 | 80.7 |
| Urban-Rural Gap | 31.6 | 34.38 | 35.58 | 34.95 | 20.86 | 18.38 |

Decadal change in Literacy rates by
Residence

## Rural

Urban
Literacy
Female
Male
Male-Female Gap

Table 3:
Literacy rates by Gender and Male-Female gap in Rajasthan from 1961 to 2011

| $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 10.1 | 14.1 | 20.4 | 43.9 | 52.7 |
| 28.1 | 33.9 | 44.8 | 55 | 75.7 | 80.5 |
| 21.1 | 23.8 | 30.7 | 34.6 | 31.8 | 27.8 |

Decadal change in Literacy rates by

|  | Decadal change in Literacy rates by Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1961- \\ & 1971 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1971- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981- \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1991- \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2001- \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Female |  | 3 | 3.9 | 6.4 | 23.4 |  | 8.8 |
| Male | 5.8 |  | 10.9 | 10.2 | 20.7 |  | 4.8 |
|  |  |  |  | Sources: <br> Populati | nsus of In <br> Totals - | India and Provisional Rajasthan |

Sources: Census of India and Provisional Population Totals - Rajasthan

|  | Decadal change in Literacy rates by Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1961- \\ & 1971 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1971- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981- \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1991- \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2001- \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Female |  | 3 | 3.9 | 6.4 | 23.4 |  | 8.8 |
| Male | 5.8 |  | 10.9 | 10.2 | 20.7 |  | 4.8 |
|  |  |  |  | Sources: <br> Populatio | ensus of Totals - | India and Provisional <br> - Rajasthan |

## 1961- <br> 1971

Male

| $\begin{aligned} & 1971- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1981- } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1991- } \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2001- \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 7.9 | 25 |  |
| 7.2 | 7.3 | 10.9 |  |

Sources: Census of India and Provisional Population Totals - Rajasthan
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & & & \\ \text { Table 4: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { District-wise Literacy rates and } \\ \text { change in last decade in Rajasthan }\end{array} \\ \text { Name of the District } & 2001 & 2011 & \text { Change\% }\end{array}\right]$

| Alwar | 61.74 | 71.68 | 9.94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bharatpur | 63.58 | 71.16 | 7.58 |
| Dholpur | 60.13 | 70.14 | 10.01 |
| Karauli | 63.40 | 67.34 | 3.94 |
| Sawai Madhopur | 56.67 | 66.19 | 9.52 |
| Dausa | 61.81 | 69.17 | 7.36 |
| Jaipur | 69.90 | 76.44 | 6.54 |
| Sikar | 70.47 | 72.98 | 2.51 |
| Nagaur | 57.28 | 64.08 | 6.8 |
| Jodhpur | 56.67 | 67.09 | 10.42 |
| Jaisalmer | 50.97 | 58.04 | 7.07 |
| Barmer | 58.99 | 57.49 | -1.5 |
| Jalor | 46.49 | 55.58 | 9.09 |
| Sirohi | 53.94 | 56.02 | 2.08 |
| Pali | 54.39 | 63.23 | 8.84 |
| Ajmer | 64.68 | 70.46 | 5.78 |
| Tonk | 51.97 | 62.46 | 10.49 |
| Bundi | 55.57 | 62.31 | 6.74 |
| Bhilwara | 50.71 | 62.71 | 12.0 |
| Rajsamand | 55.73 | 63.93 | 8.2 |
| Dungarpur | 48.57 | 60.78 | 12.21 |
| Banswara | 45.54 | 57.20 | 11.66 |
| Chittaurgarh | 53.99 | 62.51 | 8.52 |
| Kota | 73.52 | 77.48 | 3.96 |
| Baran | 59.50 | 67.38 | 7.88 |
| Jhalawar | 57.32 | 62.13 | 4.81 |
| Udaipur | 59.77 | 62.74 | 2.97 |
| Pratapgarh | 48.25 | 56.30 | 8.05 |
| Rajasthan | 60.41 | 67.06 | 6.65 |

60.41
$\begin{array}{ll}67.06 & 6.65\end{array}$

District-wise Literacy rates and Table 4: change in last decade in Rajasthan

| Name of the District | 2001 | 2011 | Change\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ganganagar | 64.74 | 70.25 | 5.51 |
| Hanumangarh | 63.05 | 68.37 | 5.32 |
| Bikaner | 57.36 | 65.92 | 8.56 |
| Churu | 67.59 | 67.46 | -0.13 |
| Jhunjhunu | 73.04 | 74.72 | 1.68 |
| Alwar | 61.74 | 71.68 | 9.94 |
| Bharatpur | 63.58 | 71.16 | 7.58 |
| Dholpur | 60.13 | 70.14 | 10.01 |
| Karauli | 63.40 | 67.34 | 3.94 |
| Sawai Madhopur | 56.67 | 66.19 | 9.52 |
| Dausa | 61.81 | 69.17 | 7.36 |


| Jaipur |  | 69.90 | 76.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sikar |  | 70.47 | 72.98 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nagaur |  | 57.28 | 64.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jodhpur |  | 56.67 | 67.09 | 10. |  |  |  |  |
| Jaisalmer |  | 50.97 | 58.04 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barmer |  | 58.99 | 57.49 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jalor |  | 46.49 | 55.58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sirohi |  | 53.94 | 56.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pali |  | 54.39 | 63.23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ajmer |  | 64.68 | 70.46 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonk |  | 51.97 | 62.46 | 10. |  |  |  |  |
| Bundi |  | 55.57 | 62.31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bhilwara |  | 50.71 | 62.71 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rajsamand |  | 55.73 | 63.93 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dungarpur |  | 48.57 | 60.78 | 12. |  |  |  |  |
| Banswara |  | 45.54 | 57.20 | 11. |  |  |  |  |
| Chittaurgarh |  | 53.99 | 62.51 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kota |  | 73.52 | 77.48 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baran |  | 59.50 | 67.38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jhalawar |  | 57.32 | 62.13 | 4. |  |  |  |  |
| Udaipur |  | 59.77 | 62.74 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pratapgarh |  | 48.25 | 56.30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rajasthan |  | 60.41 | 67.06 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Table:5 | District <br> Rajastha | se Litera <br> 2011) | y rates by | esidence a | ell as by Gender in |
|  |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| Name of the District | Rural | Urban | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total |
| Ganganagar | 66.76 | 79.43 | 76.7 | 86.19 | 79.33 | 55.65 | 71.78 | 60.07 |
| Hanumangarh | 65.79 | 78.78 | 77.02 | 86.06 | 78.82 | 53.48 | 70.76 | 56.91 |
| Bikaner | 58.95 | 78.65 | 71.72 | 86.39 | 76.90 | 44.81 | 70.12 | 53.77 |
| Churu | 64.98 | 73.63 | 78.06 | 84.66 | 79.95 | 51.13 | 62.00 | 54.25 |
| Jhunjhunu | 73.95 | 77.33 | 87.71 | 88.46 | 87.88 | 59.86 | 65.54 | 61.15 |
| Alwar | 68.83 | 84.25 | 83.46 | 92.16 | 85.08 | 52.69 | 75.22 | 56.78 |
| Bharatpur | 68.87 | 80.19 | 84.68 | 89.75 | 85.70 | 50.85 | 69.43 | 54.63 |
| Dholpur | 69.2 | 73.64 | 82.55 | 82.42 | 82.53 | 53.23 | 63.51 | 55.45 |
| Karauli | 66.15 | 73.93 | 82.50 | 85.60 | 82.96 | 47.05 | 60.79 | 49.18 |
| Sawai Madhopur | 62.68 | 79.96 | 80.62 | 91.06 | 82.72 | 42.65 | 67.80 | 47.80 |
| Dausa | 67.43 | 81.04 | 83.46 | 91.98 | 84.54 | 49.85 | 69.14 | 52.33 |
| Jaipur | 68.43 | 83.48 | 83.63 | 90.43 | 87.27 | 52.07 | 75.82 | 64.63 |
| Sikar | 71.83 | 76.64 | 86.44 | 87.38 | 86.66 | 56.75 | 65.26 | 58.76 |
| Nagaur | 62.16 | 72.11 | 77.78 | 83.56 | 78.90 | 45.92 | 60.03 | 48.63 |
| Jodhpur | 59.79 | 80.23 | 76.32 | 87.81 | 80.46 | 41.99 | 71.85 | 52.57 |
| Jaisalmer | 54.61 | 78.91 | 70.47 | 88.43 | 73.09 | 36.06 | 66.81 | 40.23 |


| Barmer | 55.72 | 79.52 | 70.87 | 90.28 | 72.32 | 38.92 | 67.45 | 41.03 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jalor | 54.05 | 71.97 | 70.52 | 85.54 | 71.83 | 37.03 | 57.32 | 38.73 |
| Sirohi | 49.77 | 79.24 | 65.86 | 89.91 | 71.09 | 37.02 | 67.41 | 40.12 |
| Pali | 59.21 | 76.78 | 75.02 | 88.30 | 78.16 | 43.74 | 64.55 | 48.35 |
| Ajmer | 60.22 | 85.05 | 78.05 | 92.17 | 83.93 | 41.87 | 77.48 | 56.42 |
| Tonk | 58.86 | 74.78 | 76.63 | 84.03 | 78.27 | 40.14 | 65.54 | 46.01 |
| Bundi | 58.13 | 78.67 | 73.47 | 88.51 | 76.52 | 41.56 | 68.16 | 47.00 |
| Bhilwara | 57.17 | 82.63 | 73.12 | 91.20 | 77.16 | 41.08 | 73.40 | 47.93 |
| Rajsamand | 60.23 | 82.71 | 76.98 | 92.01 | 79.52 | 43.77 | 72.95 | 48.44 |
| Dungarpur | 58.95 | 85.79 | 73.28 | 93.00 | 74.66 | 44.75 | 78.29 | 46.98 |
| Banswara | 54.78 | 86.58 | 68.98 | 92.68 | 70.80 | 40.47 | 80.28 | 43.47 |
| Chittaurgarh | 57.63 | 83.60 | 74.39 | 91.96 | 77.47 | 40.68 | 74.80 | 46.98 |
| Kota | 69.54 | 82.61 | 83.79 | 90.06 | 87.63 | 54.23 | 74.28 | 66.32 |
| Baran | 64.29 | 78.86 | 79.21 | 88.74 | 81.23 | 48.24 | 68.25 | 52.48 |
| Jhalawar | 58.24 | 81.82 | 73.73 | 90.23 | 76.47 | 42.01 | 72.84 | 47.02 |
| Udaipur | 55.85 | 88.45 | 70.84 | 94.45 | 75.91 | 40.46 | 82.02 | 49.10 |
| Pratapgarh | 53.50 | 85.46 | 67.50 | 93.10 | 70.13 | 39.05 | 77.61 | 42.40 |
| Rajasthan | 62.34 | 80.73 | 77.49 | 89.16 | 80.51 | 46.25 | 71.53 | 52.66 |
| India | 68.91 | 84.98 | 78.57 | 89.67 | 82.14 | 58.75 | 79.92 | 65.46 |

Sources: Census of India and Provisional Population Total - Rajasthan

Structure of Female work Participation in Rajasthan,2001-2011.

| Year | 2001 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| \%Share in Total Workers | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban |  |  |
| Cultivators | 67.0 | 70.7 | 14.7 | 52.6 | 56.6 | 9.4 |  |  |
| Agriculture Labourers | 16.2 | 16.8 | 6.9 | 24.2 | 25.6 | 8.8 |  |  |
| Household Industry Workers | 2.8 | 2.1 | 13.6 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 8.8 |  |  |
| Other Workers | 14.0 | 10.4 | 64.8 | 20.7 | 15.8 | 73.0 |  |  |

Total Workers and Work Participation Rate by Residence: 2011 (MALES)
Total Workers Work Participation Rate


| Sawai Madhopur | 343,802 | 277,779 | 66,023 | 47.7 | 48.8 | 43.3 | 48.8 | 49.2 | 47.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dausa | 398,151 | 349,583 | 48,568 | 45.8 | 46.1 | 43.7 | 46.4 | 46.5 | 45.9 |
| Jaipur | 1,714,947 | 787,451 | 927,496 | 47.4 | 46.1 | 48.7 | 49.4 | 47.9 | 50.8 |
| Sikar | 657,854 | 501,249 | 156,605 | 45.8 | 46.3 | 43.8 | 47.8 | 47.9 | 47.8 |
| Nagaur | 857,031 | 699,041 | 157,990 | 48.1 | 48.8 | 44.6 | 50.5 | 51.1 | 48.2 |
| Jodhpur | 965,103 | 621,142 | 343,961 | 48.4 | 48.6 | 48.1 | 50.2 | 49.3 | 51.8 |
| Jaisalmer | 182,565 | 155,519 | 27,046 | 51.7 | 52.0 | 50.3 | 50.5 | 49.8 | 54.9 |
| Barmer | 696,240 | 647,038 | 49,202 | 51.3 | 51.5 | 48.4 | 50.9 | 50.8 | 51.4 |
| Jalor | 494,906 | 455,851 | 39,055 | 54.1 | 54.8 | 46.9 | 52.8 | 53.2 | 49.4 |
| Sirohi | 272,196 | 215,345 | 56,851 | 50.1 | 50.3 | 49.4 | 51.0 | 50.8 | 51.7 |
| Pali | 523,077 | 400,286 | 122,791 | 48.5 | 48.5 | 48.6 | 51.0 | 50.8 | 51.6 |
| Ajmer | 686,149 | 406,505 | 279,644 | 49.8 | 51.2 | 47.7 | 51.8 | 51.5 | 52.3 |
| Tonk | 371,848 | 292,430 | 79,418 | 49.3 | 50.2 | 45.6 | 51.1 | 51.5 | 49.6 |
| Bundi | 314,806 | 255,318 | 59,488 | 53.8 | 55.4 | 46.7 | 54.5 | 55.3 | 51.5 |
| Bhilwara | 674,589 | 531,307 | 143,282 | 54.4 | 55.2 | 51.5 | 55.3 | 55.6 | 54.0 |
| Rajsamand | 319,534 | 269,456 | 50,078 | 51.4 | 51.7 | 49.8 | 55.0 | 55.3 | 53.1 |
| Dungarpur | 346,624 | 323,827 | 22,797 | 51.3 | 51.7 | 47.2 | 49.8 | 49.7 | 50.1 |
| Banswara | 482,236 | 448,510 | 33,726 | 50.3 | 50.6 | 46.9 | 53.1 | 53.2 | 51.9 |
| Chittaurgarh | 456,632 | 377,162 | 79,470 | 56.8 | 58.2 | 50.2 | 58.3 | 59.3 | 54.2 |
| Kota | 534,650 | 215,974 | 318,676 | 48.0 | 49.9 | 46.5 | 52.4 | 53.8 | 51.4 |
| Baran | 329,754 | 264,147 | 65,607 | 49.2 | 49.8 | 46.3 | 52.0 | 52.6 | 49.8 |
| Jhalawar | 402,825 | 342,286 | 60,539 | 53.9 | 55.0 | 47.7 | 55.6 | 56.4 | 51.0 |
| Udaipur | 835,839 | 666,719 | 169,120 | 51.5 | 51.8 | 50.3 | 53.3 | 53.3 | 53.6 |
| Pratapgarh | 251,691 | 231,720 | 19,971 | 55.4 | 55.8 | 51.1 | 57.5 | 57.8 | 54.6 |
| Rajasthan | 18,297,076 | 13,775,469 | 4,521,607 | 50.0 | 50.7 | 47.4 | 51.5 | 51.7 | 50.8 |


|  | Total Workers |  |  |  | work Participation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
| Name of the District | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | 0.41476 |
| Ganganagar | 316,352 | 284,690 | 31,662 | 25.0 | 30.8 | 7.3 | 34.2 | 42.1 | 12.6 |  |
| Hanumangarh | 322,458 | 303,271 | 19,187 | 29.9 | 35.8 | 5.9 | 38.2 | 44.8 | 11.5 | 0.56974 |
| Bikaner | 345,636 | 307,842 | 37.794 | 30.3 | 41.8 | 7.3 | 30.8 | 41.5 | 9.9 |  |
| Churu | 361,425 | 336,860 | 24,565 | 36.9 | 48.1 | 9.2 | 36.6 | 47.6 | 8.8 |  |
| Jhunjhunu | 353,257 | 327,404 | 25,853 | 32.6 | 39.1 | 7.0 | 33.9 | 40.7 | 11.0 | 0.6526 |
| Alwar | 714,371 | 671,668 | 42,703 | 43.8 | 49.1 | 11.6 | 41.2 | 47.0 | 14.0 |  |
| Bharatpur | 423,956 | 396,352 | 27,604 | 33.0 | 38.8 | 9.2 | 35.5 | 41.3 | 11.9 |  |
| Dholpur | 184,102 | 171,775 | 12,327 | 34.0 | 38.6 | 13.2 | 33.3 | 39.2 | 10.7 |  |
| Karauli | 257,668 | 243,174 | 14,494 | 34.2 | 38.2 | 10.7 | 38.2 | 42.5 | 14.1 |  |
| Sawai Madhopur | 234,266 | 220,406 | 13,860 | 35.6 | 42.0 | 8.1 | 37.1 | 43.7 | 10.9 |  |
| Dausa | 286,344 | 276,326 | 10,018 | 36.2 | 39.3 | 8.8 | 36.9 | 40.6 | 10.4 |  |
| Jaipur | 749,946 | 559,208 | 190,738 | 22.3 | 34.3 | 9.7 | 23.7 | 37.0 | 11.6 |  |
| Sikar | 348,650 | 324,051 | 24,599 | 31.5 | 37.8 | 6.7 | 26.8 | 32.5 | 8.0 |  |


| Nagaur | 568,851 | 539,189 | 29,662 | 32.9 | 38.0 | 8.1 | 35.3 | 41.4 | 9.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jodhpur | 524,638 | 458,392 | 66,246 | 27.1 | 36.8 | 7.6 | 29.8 | 39.4 | 11.0 |
| Jaisalmer | 106,338 | 102,510 | 3,828 | 29.4 | 33.2 | 6.9 | 34.5 | 38.2 | 9.6 |
| Barmer | 506,242 | 500,326 | 5,916 | 41.8 | 44.5 | 6.7 | 41.0 | 43.6 | 6.9 |
| Jalor | 401,738 | 390,637 | 11,101 | 46.1 | 48.6 | 14.1 | 45.0 | 47.7 | 15.3 |
| Sirohi | 147,633 | 137,225 | 10,408 | 30.1 | 34.4 | 9.1 | 29.4 | 34.0 | 10.5 |
| Pali | 319,250 | 292,137 | 27,113 | 30.9 | 35.9 | 12.0 | 31.5 | 37.0 | 12.2 |
| Ajmer | 367,573 | 306,954 | 60,619 | 27.9 | 39.9 | 9.6 | 29.2 | 40.5 | 12.1 |
| Tonk | 277,313 | 250,611 | 26,702 | 38.3 | 44.3 | 15.5 | 40.0 | 46.8 | 16.9 |
| Bundi | 211,285 | 195,237 | 16,048 | 40.5 | 47.0 | 12.2 | 39.6 | 45.8 | 15.0 |
| Bhilwara | 473,576 | 435,159 | 38,417 | 38.7 | 44.9 | 13.6 | 39.9 | 46.3 | 15.5 |
| Rajsamand | 231,297 | 216,491 | 14,806 | 29.9 | 32.9 | 8.7 | 40.2 | 44.6 | 16.6 |
| Dungarpur | 294,891 | 287,925 | 6,966 | 44.7 | 46.8 | 15.9 | 42.6 | 44.4 | 16.1 |
| Banswara | 434,338 | 423,670 | 10,668 | 43.4 | 46.0 | 10.7 | 48.8 | 51.2 | 17.0 |
| Chittaurgarh | 346,123 | 323,074 | 23,049 | 44.7 | 51.3 | 13.0 | 45.5 | 51.9 | 16.6 |
| Kota | 214,161 | 141,346 | 72,815 | 19.4 | 31.0 | 9.2 | 23.0 | 37.9 | 13.1 |
| Baran | 222,829 | 203,927 | 18,902 | 35.6 | 40.1 | 13.5 | 37.8 | 43.7 | 15.4 |
| Jhalawar | 283,125 | 269,336 | 13,789 | 39.6 | 44.6 | 9.0 | 41.3 | 46.8 | 12.5 |
| Udaipur | 529,944 | 489,384 | 40,560 | 31.1 | 35.7 | 10.8 | 35.3 | 40.5 | 13.8 |
| Pratapgarh | 229,603 | 223,207 | 6,396 | 49.4 | 52.7 | 15.5 | 53.4 | 56.5 | 18.2 |
| Rajasthan | $11,589,179$ | $10,609,764$ | 979,415 | 33.5 | 40.6 | 9.5 | 35.1 | 42.7 | 12.0 |

Literates and Literacy Rate by Residence: 2011( MALES)

|  | Literates |  |  | Literacy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
| Name of the district | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban |
| Ganganagar | 710,908 | 496,935 | 213,973 | 75.5 | 72.2 | 84.8 | 78.5 | 75.9 | 85.3 |
| Hanumangarh | 624,281 | 490,362 | 133,919 | 75.2 | 73.3 | 82.3 | 77.4 | 75.9 | 83.3 |
| Bikaner | 782,399 | 471,918 | 310,481 | 70.6 | 62.8 | 84.6 | 75.9 | 70.6 | 85.7 |
| Churu | 696,729 | 486,256 | 210,473 | 80.3 | 78.5 | 84.3 | 78.8 | 76.9 | 83.4 |
| Jhunjhunu | 815,834 | 625,672 | 190,162 | 86.1 | 85.9 | 86.8 | 86.9 | 86.8 | 87.4 |
| Alwar | 1,359,829 | 1,083,730 | 276,099 | 78.1 | 75.7 | 90.6 | 83.7 | 82.1 | 91.0 |
| Bharatpur | 943,910 | 745,044 | 198,866 | 80.5 | 79.1 | 86.2 | 84.1 | 83.1 | 88.1 |
| Dholpur | 435,721 | 344,607 | 91,114 | 75.1 | 74.5 | 77.7 | 81.2 | 81.2 | 81.3 |
| Karauli | 531,904 | 449,812 | 82,092 | 79.5 | 79.0 | 82.7 | 81.4 | 80.9 | 84.1 |
| Sawai Madhopur | 486,184 | 378,253 | 107,931 | 75.7 | 73.1 | 86.5 | 81.5 | 79.4 | 89.8 |
| Dausa | 596,935 | 513,738 | 83,197 | 79.4 | 78.2 | 88.9 | 83.0 | 81.8 | 91.0 |
| Jaipur | 2,554,793 | 1,142,333 | 1,412,460 | 82.8 | 78.9 | 86.5 | 86.1 | 82.5 | 89.2 |
| Sikar | 995,275 | 754,783 | 240,492 | 84.3 | 84.1 | 85.2 | 85.1 | 84.9 | 85.8 |
| Nagaur | 1,102,750 | 875,237 | 227,513 | 74.1 | 72.5 | 81.7 | 77.2 | 76.0 | 81.9 |
| Jodhpur | 1,265,753 | 765,699 | 500,054 | 73.0 | 65.9 | 85.4 | 79.0 | 74.6 | 86.7 |
| Jaisalmer | 210,415 | 173,353 | 37,062 | 66.3 | 62.7 | 84.5 | 72.0 | 69.4 | 87.4 |
| Barmer | 783,461 | 710,875 | 72,586 | 72.8 | 71.3 | 88.9 | 70.9 | 69.4 | 88.6 |


| Jalor | 543,923 | 487,407 | 56,516 | 64.7 | 63.1 | 82.4 | 70.7 | 69.4 | 84.2 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sirohi | 309,900 | 224,113 | 85,787 | 69.9 | 65.2 | 89.4 | 70.0 | 64.6 | 89.3 |
| Pali | 667,381 | 488,897 | 178,484 | 72.2 | 68.6 | 84.5 | 76.8 | 73.6 | 87.1 |
| Ajmer | 926,268 | 501,770 | 424,498 | 79.4 | 71.9 | 89.5 | 82.4 | 76.5 | 90.8 |
| Tonk | 478,329 | 364,531 | 113,798 | 70.5 | 67.9 | 80.3 | 77.1 | 75.5 | 82.9 |
| Bundi | 371,705 | 283,572 | 88,133 | 71.7 | 68.5 | 85.0 | 75.4 | 72.3 | 87.7 |
| Bhilwara | 777,582 | 572,895 | 204,687 | 67.4 | 62.1 | 86.1 | 75.3 | 71.3 | 89.0 |
| Rajsamand | 383,334 | 308,438 | 74,896 | 74.1 | 71.4 | 90.3 | 78.4 | 75.9 | 91.1 |
| Dungarpur | 415,820 | 379,571 | 36,249 | 66.0 | 64.1 | 87.7 | 72.9 | 71.5 | 91.4 |
| Banswara | 513,854 | 462,325 | 51,529 | 61.5 | 58.7 | 91.5 | 69.5 | 67.7 | 91.0 |
| Chittaurgarh | 514,851 | 398,512 | 116,339 | 71.5 | 67.3 | 89.9 | 76.6 | 73.3 | 90.8 |
| Kota | 765,396 | 283,078 | 482,318 | 85.2 | 81.1 | 88.6 | 86.3 | 82.2 | 88.9 |
| Baran | 432,607 | 332,591 | 100,016 | 75.8 | 73.8 | 85.5 | 80.4 | 78.4 | 87.8 |
| Jhalawar | 466,815 | 375,242 | 91,573 | 73.3 | 70.5 | 89.5 | 75.8 | 73.0 | 89.5 |
| Udaipur | 973,444 | 712,379 | 261,065 | 74.7 | 69.7 | 92.7 | 74.7 | 69.6 | 93.4 |
| Pratapgarh | 250,122 | 220,661 | 29,461 | 64.3 | 61.3 | 91.9 | 69.5 | 67.3 | 92.2 |
| RAJASTHAN | $23,688,412$ | $16,904,589$ | $6,783,823$ | 75.7 | 72.2 | 86.5 | 79.2 | 76.2 | 87.9 |

## Literates

| Name of the District | Total | 2011 |  | 2001 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban |
| Ganganagar | 482,435 | 3,244,378 | 157,998 | 52.4 | 47.2 | 67.8 | 59.7 | 55.3 | 71.3 |
| Hanumangarh | 409,855 | 312,297 | 97,558 | 49.6 | 46.3 | 62.6 | 55.8 | 53.1 | 66.8 |
| Bikaner | 496,402 | 267,286 | 229,116 | 42.4 | 30.3 | 64.8 | 53.2 | 44.3 | 69.5 |
| Churu | 452,540 | 305,243 | 147,297 | 54.4 | 52.4 | 59.1 | 54.0 | 51.1 | 61.3 |
| Jhunjhunu | 554,526 | 420,877 | 133,649 | 59.5 | 59.3 | 60.5 | 61.0 | 59.8 | 65.0 |
| Alwar | 822,647 | 624,268 | 198,379 | 43.3 | 38.6 | 70.4 | 56.3 | 52.2 | 74.7 |
| Bharatpur | 536,959 | 398,360 | 138,599 | 43.6 | 39.1 | 60.9 | 54.2 | 50.5 | 68.8 |
| Dholpur | 247,377 | 186,099 | 61,278 | 41.8 | 38.9 | 54.2 | 54.7 | 52.4 | 62.9 |
| Karauli | 273,945 | 221,611 | 52,334 | 44.4 | 42.8 | 53.8 | 48.6 | 46.5 | 60.0 |
| Sawai Madhopur | 255,564 | 181,379 | 74,185 | 35.2 | 29.5 | 58.4 | 47.5 | 42.4 | 67.2 |
| Dausa | 341,116 | 283,045 | 58,071 | 42.3 | 40.0 | 61.6 | 51.9 | 49.4 | 69.4 |
| Jaipur | 1,746,172 | 665,271 | 1,080,901 | 55.5 | 43.9 | 67.1 | 64.0 | 51.7 | 75.1 |
| Sikar | 656,842 | 486,052 | 170,790 | 56.1 | 55.3 | 59.3 | 58.2 | 56.4 | 64.3 |
| Nagaur | 655,874 | 500,184 | 155,690 | 39.7 | 36.8 | 53.4 | 47.8 | 45.2 | 58.8 |
| Jodhpur | 765,779 | 392,641 | 373,138 | 38.6 | 24.7 | 64.3 | 51.8 | 41.2 | 71.3 |
| Jaisalmer | 98,238 | 75,936 | 22,302 | 32.1 | 27.3 | 58.1 | 39.7 | 35.5 | 66.2 |
| Barmer | 404,861 | 355,790 | 49,071 | 43.4 | 42.0 | 60.2 | 40.6 | 38.6 | 66.6 |
| Jalor | 285,659 | 250,268 | 35,391 | 27.8 | 26.2 | 47.8 | 38.5 | 36.8 | 56.9 |
| Sirohi | 166,906 | 108,896 | 58,010 | 37.1 | 31.3 | 64.1 | 39.7 | 32.7 | 66.9 |
| Pali | 418,312 | 294,891 | 123,421 | 36.5 | 31.7 | 54.7 | 48.0 | 43.5 | 63.9 |
| Ajmer | 600,405 | 263,252 | 337,153 | 48.9 | 32.7 | 72.1 | 55.7 | 41.3 | 76.5 |
| Tonk | 271,330 | 182,171 | 89,159 | 32.2 | 25.7 | 56.0 | 45.4 | 39.7 | 64.8 |
| Bundi | 213,339 | 149,946 | 63,393 | 37.8 | 32.5 | 60.0 | 46.6 | 41.2 | 67.4 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bhilwara | 478,544 | 324,042 | 154,502 | 33.4 | 26.2 | 62.0 | 47.2 | 40.6 | 71.8 |
| Rajsamand | 235,805 | 178,967 | 56,838 | 37.7 | 33.1 | 68.3 | 48.0 | 43.3 | 72.3 |
| Dungarpur | 265,771 | 236,280 | 29,491 | 31.8 | 28.9 | 67.8 | 46.2 | 44.0 | 77.1 |
| Banswara | 315,489 | 271,605 | 43,884 | 29.2 | 25.1 | 76.6 | 43.1 | 40.1 | 79.3 |
| Chittaurgarh | 306,974 | 216,467 | 90,507 | 36.0 | 28.9 | 68.9 | 46.5 | 40.2 | 74.3 |
| Kota | 532,949 | 173,302 | 359,647 | 60.4 | 49.8 | 69.4 | 65.9 | 54.0 | 73.7 |
| Baran | 260,700 | 188,832 | 71,868 | 41.6 | 37.7 | 60.3 | 52.0 | 47.8 | 67.5 |
| Jhalawar | 272,977 | 203,624 | 69,353 | 40.0 | 35.3 | 68.2 | 46.5 | 41.5 | 72.1 |
| Udaipur | 609,185 | 396,467 | 212,718 | 44.5 | 36.3 | 77.5 | 48.4 | 39.8 | 81.2 |
| Pratapgarh | 151,393 | 127,411 | 23,982 | 31.8 | 27.5 | 73.5 | 42.4 | 39.0 | 77.1 |
| RAJASTHAN | $\mathbf{1 4 , 5 8 6 , 8 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 5 6 7 , 1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 1 9 , 6 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 7}$ |
| Correlation |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 6 0 1 2 2 1}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 8 6 5 5 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 3 7 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 9 6 4 5}$ |

## IV. Conclusion

1 There is need to generate education based jobs like household industry and other workers in rural areas. State Government shold prepare and implement policies for participation of rural women's in permanent salaried jobs. 2 Urban- Rural gap in literacy has been narrowed overall while male-female literacy gap has widen over the period of time. Moverover, literacy gap, by residence as well as by gender, has still exists in allover state and district also. Rural areas by residence as well as females by gender are still vulnerable in front of literacy.

The state Rajasthan has performed very poor in front of literacy as well as in female work participation rate while literacy and female work participation rate gap in rural-urban as well as male-female is widely seen. So, government should take appropriate action to improve the condition especially the rural women's.
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